

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,852	11/25/2003	Benjamin Edward Russ	81661/7114	8658
37123 759	90 07/28/2004		EXAM	INER
FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LASALLE SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603			PERRY, ANTHONY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cincrico, iz			2879	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/722,852	RUSS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anthony T Perry	2879		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 i	November 2003.			
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,11,12,22-25,27,28 and 30-35</u> is/ 7) □ Claim(s) <u>7-10,13-21,26,29 and 36-38</u> is/are of 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. are rejected. bjected to.			
Application Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination 	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)		
 Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/04 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/04,6/25/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D			

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6, 22-25, 27-28, and 30-35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,559,602. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the following reasons.

U.S. Application SN 10/722,852	U.S. Patent No. 6,559,602	Reasons for rejection under obviousness-type double patenting
Claims 1 and 22	Claim 1	Patent '602 claims a device for electric field control in a field emission display comprising a cathode substrate; a plurality of emitter lines formed on the cathode substrate; and a plurality of gate wires crossing over the plurality of emitter lines, each gate wire having a cross section shaped to produce an electric field between adjacent gate wires that is substantially uniform and substantially flat across a portion of an emitter line in between the adjacent gate wires, the electric field causing an electron emission from the portion of the emitter line.
Claim 2 and 27	Claim 2	Patent '602 claims the display wherein the cross section of each gate wire is shaped to produce the electric field which causes the electron emission that is substantially straight from the portion of the emitter line.

Art Unit: 2879

Claim 3 and 28	Claim 3	Patent '602 claims the display wherein the cross section of each	
Ciaim 3 and 28	Claim 3		
		gate wire is shaped to focus the electron emission from the	
		portion of the emitter line.	
Claims 4-6 and	Claim 4	Patent '602 claims the display wherein the cross section of each	
23-25		gate wire is shaped to have a geometry with at least a portion of	
		an upper left section and an upper right section of the geometry	
		removed. The at least the portion comprises a notch removed	
		from the upper left section and the upper right section. The cross	
		section is shaped in a generally rectangular geometry.	
Claim 30	Claim 1	Patent '602 claims a gate device for use in a field emission	
		display comprising: a wire adapted to cross over emitter lines of	
		a cathode substrate; the wire having a length adapted to extend	
		across at least a portion of the cathode substrate; the wire having	
		a cross section adapted to produce an electric field between the	
		wire and an adjacent wire that is substantially uniform and	
		substantially flat across a portion of an emitter line. The electric	
		field is inherently formed by applying a voltage potential	
		between the wire and the adjacent wire and the emitter line.	
Claim 31	Claim 2	Patent '602 claims the device wherein the cross section is	
		adapted to produce the electric field which causes an electron	
		emission that is substantially straight from the portion of the	
		emitter line.	
Claim 32	Claim 3	Patent '602 claims the device wherein the cross section is	
		adapted to focus an electron emission from the portion of the	
		emitter line.	
Claims 33-35	Claim 4	Patent '602 claims the device wherein the cross section is shaped	
		to have a geometry with at least a portion of an upper left section	
		and an upper right section of the geometry removed. The at least	
		portion comprises a notch removed from the upper left section	
		and the upper right section. The cross section is shaped in a	
		generally rectangular geometry.	
		<i>B</i> , <i>B</i> ,,	

Claims 11-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 17 of U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 2879

6,756,730. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the following reasons.

U.S. Application	U.S. Patent	Reasons for rejection under obviousness-type double patenting
SN 10/722,852	No. 6,756,730	
Claims 11	Claim 1	Patent '730 claims a field emission display comprising: a cathode substrate including a plurality of emitter lines formed on the cathode substrate; a plurality of gate wires positioned over the cathode substrate; and an anode plate including a plurality of phosphor lines positioned over the plurality of gate wires, the plurality of phosphor lines aligned with the plurality of emitter lines.
Claim 12	Claim 17	Patent '730 claims the display wherein each gate wire has a cross section shaped to produce an electric field between adjacent gate wires that is substantially uniform and substantially flat across a portion of an emitter line in between the adjacent gate wires, the electric field causing an electron emission from the portion of the emitter line.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-10, 13-21, 26, 29, 36-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Anthony Perry* whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2459**. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 9:00AM to 5:30PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-24597. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2879

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [Anthony.perry@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35

U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

hA Z

Anthony Perry Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879 July 26, 2004

Vip Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879